

RM-10785

I am OPPOSED to this RM-10785

The petitioner is requesting elimination of Element 1 from the rules.

He has failed to justify how removal of the telegraphy requirement is "appropriate and clearly in the public interest".

What is his basis for making broad sweeping unsupported claim? There is none.

There already exists opportunities to obtain licensing without the cw requirement. The petitioner is eroding the skills needed to provide a viable response to unusual circumstances requiring knowledge of cw for the majority of license classes. The Public Service requirements of our licensing aspects and functionality of our responsibilities must not be arbitrarily diminished.